Docket No.: 09643/000L339-US0

REMARKS

Pending Claims

Claims 1, 2, 7-9, 13, 14, and 25-41 are pending. Claim 42 has been added. Support for the new claim is found in the specification in the original claims. No new matter has been added.

Claim Rejections 35-USC §101

Claims 2, 7-9, 13, 14, and 25-41 have been deemed to be allowable but their allowability has been withdrawn because of issues under 35 USC §101. Claims 2, 7-9, 13, 14, and 25-41 have been rejected under 35 USC §101 for being directed to non-statutory subject matter. That is, the Examiner states that because the claims positively recite parts of human body, the claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The Examiner states that this rejection can be overcome by amending the claim 1 to recite, "the first portion is adapted to cover...," and suggested that similar amendments be made for other claims.

The claims have been amended in the manner as suggested by the Examiner to overcome the §101 rejection. Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC §102

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 3,756,247 to Hand.

The Examiner continues reject that claim 1 read on the prior art patent to Hand. However, in the original PCT in Japanese, PCT/JP00/08756, the original Japanese phrase corresponding to "the top of the bulge" in claim 1 is, 膨らみの頂点, which can be more correctly

Docket No.: 09643/000L339-US0

Application No. 10/088,719 Amendment dated October 14, 2005 Reply to Office Action of May 17, 2005

translated into "the apex of the bulge" or "the highest point of the bulge." It is attested here that I, Chris Mizumoto, attorney for Applicants, can read Japanese and that the above Japanese phrase is used in claim 1 of the original PCT and that a more correct translation of the phrase is "the apex of the bulge" or "the highest point of the bulge." Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the phrase, "the apex of the bulge" in place of "the top of the bulge."

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have known and understood that the apex of the bulge is the summit or the highest point of the bulge and not the periphery of the bulge or above the bulge. The claims are given their broadest <u>reasonable</u> interpretation, but it would be unreasonable to construe the language of claim 1 to include a periphery of the bulge or a region above the bulge as shown by Hand because a person of ordinary skill in the art would not interpret "the apex of the bulge" as including such regions. Note that the term "apex" is provided in reference to the bulge of the buttock. The periphery region of the bulge would be the base of the bulge and not the apex of the bulge.

MPEP 2111 states that "PTO applies to verbiage of the proposed claims the broadest reasonable meaning of the words in their ordinary usage as they would be understood by one of ordinary skill in the art, taking into account whatever enlightenment by way of definitions or otherwise that may be afforded by the written description contained in applicant's specification." Therefore, the broadest reasonable interpretation of "the apex of the bulge" in ordinary usage as understood by a person or ordinary skill in the art would be the summit of the bulge and would not be the peripheral region of the bulge including any region above the bulge. The patent to Hand clearly shows the band running around the periphery of the bulge and not extending through the apex of the bulge as set forth in claim 1.

New Claim 42 having similar features as claim 1 is not anticipated by Hand for at least the same reasons as claim 1. In claim 42, the phrase, "the highest point of the bulge" is used. It is clear to a skilled person that the base or the periphery of the bulge, which is where Hand's band is

Application No. 10/088,719 Amendment dated October 14, 2005 Reply to Office Action of May 17, 2005 Docket No.: 09643/000L339-US0

disposed, is not the highest point of the bulge but the lowest point. A person or ordinary skill in the art would have understood the phrase to mean the apex or summit of the bulge.

Therefore, for at least the reasons set forth above, all claims are allowable over the cited prior art.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: October 17, 2005

Chris T. Mizumoto

Registration No.: 42,899

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

Respectfully

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant